

CABINET

THURSDAY, 11 FEBRUARY 2016

PRESENT: Councillors David Burbage (Chairman), Phillip Bicknell (Deputy Chair), Simon Dudley, David Coppinger, Carwyn Cox, Geoff Hill, Derek Wilson, Natasha Airey, Colin Rayner, Christine Bateson

Principal Members also in attendance: Claire Stretton, Paul Brimacombe and George Bathurst.

Also in attendance: Councillors Lynne Jones and John Lenton

Officers: Alison Alexander, Andrew Brooker, Louisa Dean, Simon Fletcher, Jessica Hosmer-Wright, Russell O'Keefe, Zarqa Raja, David Scott and Karen Shepherd

APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

An Apology for Absence was received from Councillor Love.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Councillor Mrs Bateson declared an interest in the item Council Funding for Local Organisations as she was a council representative on the Ascot District Day Centre. She left the room for the duration of the discussion and voting on the item.

Councillor Rayner declared an interest in the item Council Funding for Local Organisations as during his year as Mayor he had been involved with a number of the charities listed. His wife was also a trustee of Windsor Festival. He remained in the room for the duration of the discussion and voting on the item.

Councillor Ms Stretton declared an interest in the item Council Funding for Local Organisations as she was on the Maidenhead Festival board. She remained in the room for the duration of the discussion and voting on the item.

MINUTES

RESOLVED UNANIMOUSLY: That:

- i) The Part I minutes of the meeting held on 28 January 2016 were approved.**
- ii) The Part I minutes of the meeting of the Cabinet Local Authority Governors Appointments Sub Committee held on 28 January 2016 be noted.**

APPOINTMENTS

None

CABINET MEMBERS' REPORTS

A) POTENTIAL CLOSURE OF MAIDENHEAD HIGH STREET POST OFFICE BRANCH

Members considered the recent announcements made by Post Office Ltd to make changes to 42 of its 314 directly-managed Crown Post Offices as part of its network modernisation programme. The Lead Member explained that the council was concerned as the Post Office Ltd. was looking for a franchisee to takeover the service and this could lead to relocation and a lower level of service. A number of franchises were operated from WH Smith stores; in Maidenhead WH Smiths had recently moved to a smaller premises therefore this option would be difficult. The tendering process would last 28 days and would be followed by a six week consultation.

The Lead Member proposed an additional recommendation that the Leader of the Council be asked to write to the Secretary of State for Business, Innovation and Skills (BIS) to highlight the council's concerns.

The Principal Member highlighted that the services of a Crown Post Office included identity and licence checks, document checks and services for drivers such as photocard renewal. It had yet to be discovered how far Maidenhead residents would have to travel for these services if lost in the High Street. She highlighted the e-petition that was currently open for signatures (<http://petitions.rbwm.gov.uk/Post-Office/>) and the opportunity for residents to contact the Post Office with their views on comments@postoffice.co.uk.

The Lead Member explained that the upper levels of the building were offices, however the ground floor was leased to the Post Office Ltd. A franchisee operator would be unlikely to operate from the same location. The Communication Workers Union had written to the Lead Member to express their concerns about access for the elderly or disabled at any new location.

Councillor Brimacombe urged the Post Office to be more transparent; it had not made information available to the council in relation to the reasons for its proposal.

The Principal Member highlighted that the regeneration of the town would increase activity in the town centre and she therefore found it a bizarre decision by the Post Office.

The Leader agreed to write to the Secretary of State to highlight the issues of concern about location, service and transparency. As the Post Office was owned by the government it was important the public was aware of what was happening with public assets. The council would publicise the letter. He had already written directly to the Post Office, as had the local MP.

RESOLVED UNANIMOUSLY: That Cabinet agrees:

- i. Post Office Ltd should be requested to provide assurances that the level and quality of existing services available to the local residents and businesses of Maidenhead will not be reduced.**
- ii. Post Office Ltd should be requested to outline what would happen in the event that a franchise partner could not be found.**
- iii. That the Council publicises the opportunities available to residents and businesses to express their views on the proposed planned changes to the branch.**

iv. That the Leader of the Council be asked to write to the Secretary of State for Business, Innovation and Skills making representations from the Royal Borough Cabinet.

B) BUDGET 2016/17

Members considered recommendations to Full Council in relation to the budget for 2016/17.

Cabinet was addressed by Graham Cribbin. Mr Cribbin explained that he and Mr Perez had started the Wraysbury Speed Watch group to enable residents to converse on any speeding issues they or their families had experienced. Over the past year the group had grown to 250 members, with many mentioning Wraysbury Station bridge as one of the key concerns. The group was aware of one fatality on the bridge and also one life-changing injury. A site visit had been held in January 2016 with South West Trains, National Rail, the borough, Ward Councillor John Lenton and Parish Council Chairman Margaret Lenton. The group had walked across the bridge and reached the top when two buses had met; all had had to lean back onto the collapsed fence to avoid being hit. The lack of pathway meant those using wheelchairs or pushchairs had no access to the station or village shops. Further site meetings had taken place and a feasibility study and safety plan had been developed that would cost £80,000. The online and hard copy petition had attracted 2250 signatures, 70-80% of which were local residents.

Cabinet was addressed by Henry Perez. Mr Perez explained that safety concerns relating to bridge access had been experienced by residents for over 20 years. In its current state the bridge was unsafe and not fit for purpose for pedestrian traffic. The population of Wraysbury and the number of station users had increased in recent years to an estimated 112,000 in 2014. Safety issues arose for both pedestrians and drivers. At present there was a footpath either side but it stopped at the foot of the bridge. The situation contravened the Highway Code for pedestrians and fell short of good practice guidelines for those with disabilities. Mr Perez had addressed the recent Highways, Transport and Environment Overview and Scrutiny Panel, which had recommended to Council that funding be found for the works.

The Lead Member thanked the public speakers and commented that if the cost was £80,000 for a fully developed scheme, this was a modest sum in the context of the council's £25m capital programme. He had not seen a design or costings for the scheme and would like to do so as soon as possible. In this situation it was usual for the relevant Lead Member to bring forward a proposal.

The Lead Member introduced the budget by explaining that it had been set against the context of a challenging environment for the country's finances. The council had to do what it was asked of by central government to help reduce the national deficit. There would be a very significant reduction in the grant funding for local authorities over the next four years, which had significantly exceeded the projections of the borough and other councils. At the same time there was a need to invest in services to protect the most vulnerable.

The council proposed to freeze council tax for the seventh consecutive year, therefore a Band D property would pay £907. This represented a reduction of approximately

13% in nominal terms and 31% in real terms against RPI. The donate back your council tax scheme would continue to be run.

The Lead Member referred to the very significant growth in demand for adult social care. The council spent approximately 35% of its budget on the 2500 residents requiring adult social care. For 2016/17 the council proposed to add £3.8m to the adult social care and health budget and £500,000 inflation totalling £4.3m. In children's services the budget had been increased by £240,000 to deal with high needs placements. A further £300,000 had been added to the home to school transport budget which was focussed on children with statements of Special Educational Need. The council was taking advantage to levy the 2% precept ring-fenced for adult social care. This would add £18.60 to a Band D council tax bill. This would enable the council to continue to invest in protecting the most vulnerable in the community.

Members noted the efficiency savings identified that were detailed in paragraph 3.8 of the report, including as a result of improved procurement and restructures. The Lead Member explained that the capital programme totalled £25m, of which £15m was corporately funded. Financial projections assumed an additional 1000 Band D equivalent properties each year of the Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFP) which would not only increase the tax base but also provide homes for people. The scale of the corporately funded element of the capital programme would bring forward the council's requirement to borrow additional funds. However the council also had extensive property holdings so when it invested in the Maidenhead Waterways project, the council increased the value of its properties adjacent to the Maidenhead Waterways. The council was investing to create value, to realise value.

Councillor Lenton left the meeting at 8pm.

The Lead Member highlighted the investment of £700,000 to progress the Borough Local Plan. He referred to options for the St Clouds site for which the council held the freehold and the plans for a complete replacement of the Magnet Leisure Centre, as well as development options for Maidenhead Golf Course and its potential inclusion in the Borough Local Plan. In relation to fees and charges it was the council's approach not to increase beyond the level of inflation. The Lead Member confirmed that in the final local government settlement a number of councils had benefitted from a transition grant, including the borough. The council would receive £1.3m over and above what had been assumed in the MTFP in both of 2016/17 and 2017/18, which would give even more financial flexibility to invest in the transformation of the council and the growth of the local economy.

Four additional capital schemes would be included as a result of discussions at Overview and Scrutiny Panels:

- Chariots Place (£20,000)
- Enforcement services (£7,000)
- Parking enforcement and equipment (£40,000)
- Mobile working for streetcare workers (£20,000)

The Lead Member for Adult Services and Health commented that he was proud of the increased expenditure of £4.3m on adult social care. The precept would raise £1.3m therefore the council was finding the rest from its own resources. The service was demand-led. The latest figures showed that the borough population aged over 65 had increased by 8% in the last three years and by 15% for those over 80 years old. The

council had not cut a single service in this area. For those in Band D the precept would equate to 37p per week, which he felt was a small amount to look after people who had contributed to society their whole life.

The Lead Member for Education explained that the proposed investments would help the council deliver its manifesto commitments. Despite the reduction of £10 per head in educational support grant there would be no loss to frontline schools budgets. The overall schools budget totalled £105m, which was £1.3m more than the previous year. There had been a slight drop in the number of pupils receiving pupil premium; the funding would be redistributed. The capital programme totalling £10.4m would allow for the expansion of various schools including £340,000 for the expansion of the staff room at Wraysbury Primary School. The school expansion programme would provide more choice for parents in the borough. The budget included funding for a feasibility study for a satellite grammar school.

The Lead Member for Planning thanked the Lead Member for Finance for the additional resources to ensure the Borough Local Plan progressed. The capital programme included a number of significant investments including £3m for the Waterways project, £2.9m for the Broadway opportunity area and £500,000 for the Maidenhead station interchange. The Lead Member highlighted that councils without a Borough Local Plan would not receive the New Homes bonus in future. The council aimed to submit its plan by September 2016. In relation to CIL, the examination was scheduled for 3 March 2016.

The Lead Member for Highways and Transport highlighted that £1.6m had been allocated for highway resurfacing across the borough. £3.7m had been allocated to upgrade street lighting with LED lights (the first half of a two year programme). In relation to the Lower Thames Flood Relief Channel, the council was contributing £285,000 to meet its commitments. A total of £6.6m would be spent on the highway network as detailed on pages 94-98 of the report.

The Principal Member for Culture and Communities commented that there were no cuts proposed for the funding of local organisations, including arts centres. The council had increased the funding for revenue grants by £23,000. The fees for the hire of the popular Desborough Suite had been frozen. An extra £365,000 had been allocated to the Participatory Budget programme. In comparison to other local authorities that were closing libraries, the borough was doing the opposite. Cabinet would receive a report at the end of the month to undertake a feasibility study to open at least one new library before 2019. Three sites were under consideration. The Lead Member for Finance commented that funding for this project was not currently in the budget; he suggested that the £35,000 cost be included in the recommendation to Council.

The Chief Whip highlighted projects in the south of the borough, including the roundabout that was currently being installed near Charters School and the allocation of £250,000 for Victory Fields Pavilion in Sunninghill. In relation to Neighbourhood Plans each of the ten plans underway were to be allocated £20,000 to enable them to start the consultation. She thanked the Lead Member for Finance for the £100,000 allocated over the last four years for social enterprises.

The Principal Member for Policy commented that the council was able to make investments because of the 31% savings made. This represented nine years of cumulative work.

The Lead Member for Environmental Services explained that £3.7m had been allocated over the next two years to replace over 14,000 street lights with LED lights, to realise significant savings. He also highlighted savings in waste services.

The Principal Member for Transformation and Performance explained that changes in the way the council functioned were the basis on which reductions in council tax were achieved whilst services were maintained. The active engagement of staff was necessary for this to be successful.

The Chairman highlighted that the grant from central government would reduce from £22m in 2016/17 to £12.5m in 2019/20. If the council were able to keep all the business rates it collected, it would have £80m. It was clear that the borough was therefore subsidising other local authorities. The cumulative effect of council tax freezes amounted to £613.25 over seven years. It was recognised that the 2% precept was a cost to the householder but it would be listed separately on the council tax bill, as it was ring-fenced for adult social care expenditure.

Councillor Mrs Jones welcomed the transitional funding the borough would receive. She asked whether, in light of this, the scheme for a toilet at Old Windsor library could be included in the budget. She requested the rationale for increasing parking charges, in particular season tickets. Many of those who worked in the hospitality and retail sector in the borough were on minimum wage and cost increases in parking would cause difficulties for them. For accuracy she suggested that it be stated that council tax was rising by 2% in respect of adult social care. The Chairman responded that in the announcement the precept was separate from core council tax and he assumed the regulations would stipulate billing requirements. Feedback could be provided to Councillor Mrs Jones prior to Full Council. It was noted that the regulations were expected the following day. All models had the precept as a separate line.

The Lead Member for Highways and Transport agreed to write to Councillor Mrs Jones in relation to parking. He commented that parking charges had not been put up for a number of years and the rise on season tickets amounted to £2 per week. Parking was at a premium in the town centres and those commuting should pay a fair and reasonable charge. New parking machines would allow for pay on exit and give change. Of the 49 council car parks in the borough, 23 were free to use; others gave Advantage Card discounts.

The Lead Member for Finance commented that it would be good to receive a paper on the Old Windsor library toilet situation.

Members agreed two additional recommendations to include a feasibility study for an additional library and the four named capital programme schemes.

RESOLVED UNANIMOUSLY: That Council:

- i. That the detailed recommendations contained in Appendix A, which includes a Council Tax at band D of £906.95, be approved.**
- ii. That an Adult Social Care Levy of £1.191m be included in the Council's budget proposals, this levy being equivalent to £18.14 at band D.**

- iii. That Fees and Charges as contained in Appendix B be approved.
- iv. That the Capital Programme shown in Appendices C and D be adopted by the Council for the year commencing April 2016.
- v. That responsibility is delegated to the Cabinet Prioritisation Sub Committee to identify specific scheme budgets for the Highway Maintenance programmes as soon as project specifications have been completed.
- vi. That authority is delegated to the Head of Finance in consultation with the Lead Members for Finance and for Adult Services and Health to add up to a further £300k to the budget for Disabled Facilities Grant once demand for those grants has been established.
- vii. That the prudential Borrowing limits set out in Appendix L are approved.
- viii. That Council is asked to note the Business Rate tax base calculation detailed in Appendix P (to follow) and its use in the calculation of the Council Tax Requirement in Appendix A.
- ix. That the Head of Finance in consultation with Lead Members for Finance and Education, is authorised to amend the Total Schools Budget, to reflect actual Dedicated Schools Grant levels.
- x. That the Head of Finance in consultation with Lead Members for Finance and Education, the Managing Director and Strategic Director for Adult, Children and Health Services and the School Forum is authorised to approve subsequent allocation of the Schools Budget in accordance with the 2016/17 funding formula¹ and the Schools Finance and Early Years Regulations 2015.
- xi. That responsibility to include the precept from the Berkshire Fire and Rescue Authority in the overall Council Tax charges is delegated to the Lead Member for Finance and Head of Finance as soon as the precept is announced.
- xii. That the revision to the Council's Minimum Revenue Policy set out in paragraph 3.43 be approved.
- xiii. That four additional capital schemes be included in the programme:
 - Chariots Place (£20,000)
 - Enforcement services (£7,000)
 - Parking enforcement and equipment (£40,000)

¹ The funding formula was submitted to DfE for ratification on 21st January 2016 following consultation with Lead Members, Schools, and the Schools Forum

- Mobile working for streetcare workers (£20,000)

xiv. That £35,000 be included for a feasibility study for an additional library.

COUNCIL FUNDING FOR LOCAL ORGANISATIONS IN 2016/17

Members considered recommendations from the Grants Panel for council funding of local organisations in 2016/17. Members agreed that the decisions should be minuted in Part I.

RESOLVED UNANIMOUSLY: That the recommendations of the Grants Panel as detailed below be approved, and the decision be minuted in Part I:

RBWM / '3' GRASSROOTS FUNDING

- i) That the applications listed below for the allocation of RBWM / '3' Grassroots Funding be approved and the decisions be minuted in Part I following Cabinet's agreement of the budget –

Organisation	£
ABC to Read	2,000
1 st Maidenhead Sea Scout Group	1,500
19 th Maidenhead Scout Group	1,500
Dance For Fun	500
Family Friends in Windsor and Maidenhead	0 *
Norden Farm Centre for the Arts	2,500
Re:Charge R&R	10,000
Thames Valley Adventure Playground	5,500
Windsor and Eton Sea Cadets	1,500

* The Panel agreed that Family Friends in Windsor and Maidenhead should not be awarded any funding from the RBWM/3 Fund as they had also applied for and were recommended to receive funding from the Royal Borough Revenue Grants scheme. Members agreed the £3,000 originally allocated to Family Friends in Windsor and Maidenhead should be redistributed between the other 8 applicants. Members agreed to delegate authority to the Chairman and Vice Chairman to agree the re-allocation with Claire Tyrrell of BCF. 28/1/16 - *Chairman and Vice Chairman agreed revised figures above.*

COUNCIL FUNDING FOR LOCAL ORGANISATIONS 2016/17

- i) The grants as detailed below be approved, subject to:-
- a) The approval of the budget.
 - b) The organisations receiving Capital Grants obtaining any requisite planning or building regulations consents and producing copies of audited accounts and evidence of the availability of finance for the remainder of the schemes.
 - c) The organisations receiving Kidwells Trust Grants:-
 - i) Providing suitable acknowledgement for the grant assistance in all publicity material.
 - ii) Ensuring that there is adequate insurance cover for items

purchased with grant assistance. Continuing to look for other forms of sponsorship for special events.

- d) Organisations in receipt of Revenue and SLA Grants being required to complete an Annual Return Form which should demonstrate written evidence that the money had been spent according to their application and to identify the specific outcomes achieved as a result of the grant awarded.
- e) Organisations should, wherever possible, seek funding from other sources to ensure that they were not solely reliant on funding through the Royal Borough and it be noted that those organisations would not necessarily be automatically awarded funding year on year.

ii) That the following decisions be minuted in Part I following Cabinet's agreement of the budget:

Revenue Grants

Organisation	£
Ascot Volunteer Bureau	1,000 (1)
Adult Dyslexia Service	900 (2)
Art Beyond Belief	8,800
Ascot Area Alzheimer's (Triple A)	1,000 (3)
Ascot District Day Centre #1	1,825 (4)
Ascot District Day Centre #2	4,175 (5)
Autism Berkshire (Adults)	4,500
Autism Berkshire (Children's)	3,000
Berkshire Community Foundation	4,500
Braywick Heath Nurseries	4,000 (6)
Breastfeeding Network	5,000 (7)
CHIME	770
Conservation Volunteers	3,000 (8)
Cruse Bereavement	500
Dash Charity	5,000
Elizabeth House	3,000
Family Friends	5,000
Guru Nanak Sat Sang Sabha	1,000
Maidenhead Festival	8,000 (9)
Maidenhead Heritage Trust	10,000
Maidenhead Music Society	500
Old Windsor Carnival	2,500
Older Persons Advisory Forum	1,000
Park Fest (Larchfield Community Centre)	1,000
RVS York Community Centre and Café	1,500
Salvation Youth Trust	5000 (10)
Sebastian's Action Trust	2,000
1Sequela Foundation	500
Thames Valley Mediation Service	3,000 (11)
Windsor & Maidenhead Community Forum	4,500
Windsor & Maidenhead Symphony Orchestra	1,000

- (1) Ascot Volunteer Bureau – funding awarded on condition the organisation work with either WAM Get Involved or Community Enterprise in order to become more financially sustainable. Relevant Grant Officer to ensure this occurs.**
- (2) Adult Dyslexia Service – Members requested the relevant Grants Officer to ensure any future applications included information on the number of residents using the service, staffing numbers and location.**
- (3) Ascot Area Alzheimer’s (Triple A) – relevant Grants Officer to highlight that in future more comprehensive details to be submitted in relation to the activities that will be carried out using the money from the Royal Borough and the need to demonstrate funding sought elsewhere.**
- (4) Ascot District Day Centre #1 – funding awarded on condition the organisation work with either WAM Get Involved or Community Enterprise in order to become more financially sustainable. Relevant Grant Officer to ensure this occurs.**
- (5) Ascot District Day Centre #2 – funding awarded on condition the organisation work with either WAM Get Involved or Community Enterprise in order to become more financially sustainable. Relevant Grant Officer to ensure this occurs.**
- (6) Braywick Heath Nurseries – funding awarded on condition the organisation work with either WAM Get Involved or Community Enterprise in order to become more financially sustainable. Relevant Grant Officer to ensure this occurs.**
- (7) Breastfeeding Network – Delegate decision to Chairman and Vice-Chairman once relevant Grants Officer has confirmed how the organisation is already supported by Public Health. 3/2/16 - *Chairman and Vice Chairman agreed £5000***
- (8) Conservation Volunteers – relevant Grants Officer to ensure the organisation submits local accounts for any future application.**
- (9) Maidenhead Festival - Relevant Grants officer to suggest organisation apply for additional funding through Quarterly Grants process.**
- (10) Salvation Youth Trust – Decision deferred so that Children’s Services can confirm if this application will become an SLA. Once information is made available to all Panel Members, the Chairman and Vice-Chairman be delegated authority to make the final decision. Further information was also requested by the Panel to find out why the organisation is focusing their project on fathers and sons only. 3/2/16 - *Chairman and Vice Chairman agreed £5000***
- (11) Thames Valley Mediation Service – Decision delegated to Chairman and Vice-Chairman once information on the organisation’s accounts has been provided via the relevant Grants Officer. 3/2/16 - *Chairman and Vice Chairman agreed £3000***

That with regret, the following applications were refused:

**ABC to read (already received funding from the RBWM/3 fund)
Maidenhead Amateur Swimming Club
TWBSBC
Windsor Chinese Academy**

N.B: Out of a total budget of £123,000, £97,470 was allocated which left £25,530 unallocated from the Revenue budget. The Panel agreed that this funding should be made available to be allocated for consideration at the Quarterly Grants Panels during 2016/17.

Capital Grants

Organisation	Description	£
Braywick Heath Nurseries	To Modernise and upgrade their computer systems with 6no. new PCs, new display screens, software packages with licenses, in-house email server software and Microsoft Office packages	1,000
Elizabeth House	To install a new kitchen with a new air extraction system, new flooring and new worktops	4,000
Maidenhead & Windsor Community Responders	To go towards the purchase of a new 4x4 vehicle	2,500
Maidenhead Heritage Trust	For the purchase of a new display screen	650
Maidenhead United FC Charitable Trust	To go towards the purchase of 3no defibrillators	2,394 (1)
Norden Farm	For funding towards their replacement and renewals plan which comprises new IT, refurb of Foyer toilets and technical sound and lighting equipment.	6,000
Old Windsor Memorial Hall	To go towards the costs of purchasing and installing a key pad door entry system	1,000
SportsAble	To go towards development costs such as: Project Management, Stakeholder/partner engagement, project design, site investigations & Surveys, Detailed project budget and detailed business case before work commences on building an extension	4,000
St Peters Church	To go towards the costs of raising enough funds to convert the church into a resource for all sections and ages of the community. To include: external weatherproofing, internal re-plastering, redecoration, installation of two toilets, installation of kitchen, removal of pews to create a multi-functional space.	500
TWBSBC	For the purchase of a new double boat.	3,500
Windsor & Eton Sea Cadets	To go towards the purchase of a new mini bus.	3,000
PCC Windsor Parish Church	To go towards the restoration of	5,000

Organisation	Description	£
with All Saints	3-manual and pedal organs	(2)

(1) Maidenhead United FC – relevant Grants Officer to suggest to local Ward Councillors that they could provide additional funding via the Members' Participatory Budget scheme.

(2) PCC Windsor Parish Church with all Saints – the Panel wished it to be highlighted that the funding was provided in recognition of the community and school activities undertaken at the church, including Windsor Festival, Windsor Fringe and carol concerts.

That with regret, the following applications were refused:

Cox Green School

N.B: Out of a total budget of £40,000, £33,544 was allocated which left £6,456 unallocated from the Capital budget. The Panel agreed that this funding should be made available to be allocated for consideration at the Quarterly Grants Panels during 2016/17.

Kidwells Trust Grants

Organisation	Description	£
Maidenhead Choral Society	Towards the costs of putting on a concert	200
Maidenhead Music Society	To engage more well-known artists for and for a broader advertising strategy.	500
Windsor Fringe	To promote the ever-expanding "Exhibition and Artists Open House".	250
Windsor & Maidenhead Symphony Orchestra	To cover the cost of hire of Eton College School Hall	2,500

N.B. Out of a total budget of £10,000, £3,450 was allocated which left £6,550 unallocated. The Panel agreed that this funding should be made available to be allocated for consideration at the Quarterly Grants Panels during 2016/17.

Service Funded Grants

Organisation	£
<u>Libraries, Arts & Heritage</u>	
Firestation Arts & Culture	80,000
Norden Farm Centre for the Arts	100,000
Windsor Festival Society	15,000*
Windsor Fringe	2,500
<u>Adult & Community</u>	
Maidenhead Mencap	6,000

Organisation	£
Thames Valley Positive Support	13,500
Windsor Mencap Buddy Scheme	8,000
Windsor Old People's Welfare Association	10,000

*** Windsor Festival Society – relevant Grants officer to suggest organisation apply for additional funding through Quarterly Grants process**

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972 - EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC

RESOLVED UNANIMOUSLY: That under Section 100(A)(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded from the remainder of the meeting whilst discussion takes place on items 6-8 on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Paragraphs 1-7 of part I of Schedule 12A of the Act

The meeting, which began at 7.30 pm, finished at 8.59 pm

CHAIRMAN.....

DATE.....